

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,595	11/02/2000	Antonius H.M. Akkermans	PHN 17,721	2515
24737 75	590 08/11/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INT	ELLECTUAL PROPER	ORTIZ CRIADO, JORGE L		
P.O. BOX 3001	1			
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	
			DATE MAILED, 09/11/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/704,595	AKKERMANS, ANTONIUS H.M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jorge L. Ortiz-Criado	2655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tireply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	May 2005.				
	nis action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-11 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 12</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicate riority documents have been received in Applicate (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response of 05/23/2005 has been considered with the following results.

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

Claims 1, 3, 9 and 15 recites the limitation terminology of "a predetermined period of time", "a predetermined time interval", "a predetermined time period", "a predetermined time period", respectively; Claims 15, recites the limitations, "apparatus for employing", "a read system", "a motion control device". Claim 16 recites the limitation "a measuring device". These terminology cannot be found in the specification.

The <u>terms</u> and <u>phrases</u> used in the claims must find <u>clear</u> support or <u>antecedent basis</u> in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be <u>ascertainable</u> by <u>reference to the description</u>. There is <u>insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation</u> in the specification.

While an applicant is not limited to the nomenclature used in the application as filed, he or she should make appropriate amendment of the specification whenever this nomenclature is departed from by amendment of the claims so as to have clear support or antecedent basis in the

specification for the new terms appearing in the claims. This is necessary in order to insure certainty in construing the claims in the light of the specification, Ex parte Kotler, 1901 C.D. 62, 95 O.G. 2684 (Comm'r Pat. 1901). See 37 CFR 1.75, MPEP § 608.01(i) and § 1302.01.

If the examiner determines that the claims presented late in prosecution do not comply with 37 CFR 1.75(d) (1), applicants will be required to make appropriate amendment to the description to provide clear support or antecedent basis for the terms appearing in the claims provided NO new matter is introduced.

As far as the claims recite positive limitations, the following art rejections are made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 2. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-5, 7-11, and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gérard et al. U.S. Patent No. 4,561,082 in view of Nakano JP No. 09-3200070.

Regarding claim 1, Gérard discloses a device, for reading and or writing information from/onto an optical information carrier, said information stored in the form of differences in intensity level (See col. 1, lines 39-43), said device comprising:

-read means including imaging means for imaging a radiation beam so as to form a scanning spot by means of which the information carrier is scanned, and including detection means for generating a read signal, which is indicative of the intensity of the radiation reflected from the information carrier at the location of the scanning spot (col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10),

-which device has an information transfer mode, in which the scanning spot is moved in a first direction with respect to the information carrier (col. 6, lines 10-16),

-which device has a displacement mode, in which the scanning spot is moved in a second direction transverse to the first direction (col. 5, lines 49-65)(col. 6, lines 21-25),

-control means for controlling the imaging means in response to a measurement signal which is indicative of the degree of focusing of the radiation beam at the location of scanning spot, which control means include sample and hold means for sampling and holding the measurement signal in response to a sample signal (col. 2, lines 39-56)(col. 3, lines 14-68)(col. 5, lines 47-65) (col. 6, lines 21-25)(col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27)(col. 11, lines 33-63) (See Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 10)

Art Unit: 2655

-wherein the sample signal causes the measurement signal to be sampled at locations having mutually the same intensity level within predetermined period of time (See col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5)

Gérard et al. discloses that the measurement signal is sampled when said intensity is comparatively high and within a predetermined time intervals, but does not expressly disclose wherein if the measurement signal is not sampled within a predetermined time period then said sampled signal causes the measurement signal to be sampled.

However, this feature is well known in the art and is evidenced by Nakano, which discloses an apparatus for employing an optical information carrier, which discloses a signal generation system operatively coupled to a read system, said signal generation system adapted to produce a sample signal to control sampling of a measurement signal, and wherein said sample signal causes the measurement signal to be sampled every predetermined time period (See abstract, detailed description [0004-[0016])

It would have been obvious to one with an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to sample the measurement signal, as well established and well known, every predetermined period of time, in order to control avoid instabilities, such as vibrations of the focus actuator, as taught by Nakano.

Regarding claim 2, the combination as outlined above would show (See Nakano) wherein the sample signal is responsive to a time during which the measurement signal is held causing the measurement signal to be sampled when the time exceeds a predetermined value (See detailed description [0004-[0016])

Art Unit: 2655

Regarding claim 3, the combination as outlined above would show (See Gérard) a device for reading and recording information on an optical information carrier, said information carrier having information stored therewithin as patterns formed by differences in intensity levels (See col. 1, lines 39-43; col. 7, lines 8-16; Figs. 1,2,3,4,5,6,9,10), said device comprising:

a read system adapted to read data from said optical information carrier, said read system further comprising a radiation beam source, a radiation beam, a device for focusing said radiation beam, a scanning spot formed with said focused radiation beam and proximate said optical information carrier, said scanning spot having an intensity (col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10),

a motion control device for controlling movement of said scanning spot relative to said optical information carrier (col. 6, lines 10-16),

and for generating a read signal (SLS) which is indicative of the intensity of the radiation reflected from the information carrier at the location of the scanning spot, said read system further adapted to derive, from said optical information carrier via said scanning spot, a measurement signal, a radial error signal, and an information signal (col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10);

and a signal generation system operatively coupled to said read system, said signal generation system adapted to produce a sample signal to control sampling of said measurement signal, said sample signal proportional to the intensity of said scanning spot, and wherein said sample signal causes the measurement signal to be sampled at locations having mutually the

same intensity level, and within a predetermined time period (col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10);

Regarding claim 9, Gérard discloses a method of reading information stored on an optical information carrier (See col. 1, lines 39-43; col. 7, lines 8-16; Figs. 1,2,3,4,5,6,9,10), said method comprising:

providing an optical information carrier (See col. 1, lines 39-43; col. 7, lines 8-16; Figs. 1,2,3,4,5,6,9,10),

said optical information carrier having a multilevel structure, and said optical information carrier bearing data recorded as patterns formed in the information carrier by differences in intensity levels (See col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10).

providing a read system adapted to read data from said optical information carrier, said read system further comprising a radiation beam source, a radiation beam, a device for focusing said radiation beam, a scanning spot formed with said focused radiation beam and proximate said optical information carrier, said scanning spot having an intensity (col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10),

a motion control device for controlling movement of said scanning spot relative to said optical information carrier (col. 6, lines 10-16),

Art Unit: 2655

and for generating a read signal (SLS) which is indicative of the intensity of the radiation reflected from the information carrier at the location of the scanning spot, said read system further adapted to derive, from said optical information carrier via said scanning spot, a measurement signal, a radial error signal, and an information signal; and providing a signal generation system operatively coupled to said read system (See col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10).

said signal generation system adapted to produce a sample signal to control sampling of said measurement signal, said sample signal proportional to the intensity of said scanning spot, and wherein said sample signal causes the measurement signal to be sampled at locations having mutually the same intensity level and within a predetermined time period (See col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10).

Gérard et al. discloses that the measurement signal is sampled when said intensity is comparatively high and within a predetermined time intervals, but does not expressly disclose wherein if the measurement signal is not sampled within a predetermined time period then said sampled signal causes the measurement signal to be sampled.

However, this feature is well known in the art and is evidenced by Nakano, which discloses an apparatus for employing an optical information carrier, which discloses a signal generation system operatively coupled to a read system, said signal generation system adapted to produce a sample signal to control sampling of a measurement signal, and wherein said sample signal

causes the measurement signal to be sampled every predetermined time period (See abstract, detailed description [0004-[0016])

It would have been obvious to one with an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to sample the measurement signal, as well established and well known, every predetermined period of time, in order to control avoid instabilities, such as vibrations of the focus actuator, as taught by Nakano.

Regarding claims 4 and 10, the combination as outlined above would show (See Gérard) wherein said intensity of said scanning spot is an indicator of a location of the scanning spot with respect to the patterns provided in the information carrier (col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10);

Regarding claims 5 and 11, the combination as outlined above would show (See Gérard) wherein said sample signal causes the measurement signal to be sampled at instants when said intensity is comparatively high and a periodic clock signal is received by said signal generation system (See col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10).

Regarding claims 7 and 13, the combination as outlined above would show (See Gérard) wherein said read system is adapted to operate in two operational modes:

Art Unit: 2655

an information transfer mode wherein said motion control device provides motion of said scanning spot in a tangential first direction with respect to an axis about which said information carrier is rotated (See col. 6, lines 10-16; Figs. 6, 7);

and a displacement mode wherein said motion control device provides motion of said scanning spot in a radial second direction, wherein said radial transverse direction is transverse to said first direction (See col. 5, lines 49-65; col. 6, lines 21-25; Figs. 6, 7).

Regarding claim 8, the combination as outlined above would show (See Gérard) wherein said read system further comprises a system for generating a logic signal which indicates that information is recorded on the information carrier in the form of differences in level of a surface of the information carrier (See col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10).

Regarding claim 14, the combination as outlined above would show (See Gérard) wherein said sampling of the measurement signal when said intensity is comparatively high results in a reduction of radial-to-vertical crosstalk (See col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63)

Regarding claim 15, Gérard et al. discloses an apparatus for employing an optical information carrier (See col. 1, lines 39-43; col. 7, lines 8-16; Figs. 1,2,3,4,5,6,9,10), said apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2655

device for reading and recording information on said optical information carrier, said information carrier having information stored therewithin as patterns formed by differences in levels (col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10),

a read system adapted to read data from said optical information carrier, said read system further comprising a radiation beam source, a radiation beam, a device for focusing said radiation beam, a scanning spot formed with said focused radiation beam and proximate said optical information carrier, said scanning spot having an intensity (col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10),

a motion control device for controlling movement of said scanning spot relative to said optical information carrier (col. 6, lines 10-16),

and a device for deriving, from said optical information carrier via said scanning spot, a measurement signal, a radial error signal, and an information signal (See col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10).

said signal generation system operatively coupled to said read system, said signal generation system adapted to produce a sample signal to control sampling of said measurement signal, said sample signal proportional to the intensity of said scanning spot; and wherein said sample signal causes the measurement signal to be sampled when said intensity is comparatively high (See col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10).

Art Unit: 2655

Gérard et al. discloses that the measurement signal is sampled when said intensity is comparatively high and within a predetermined time intervals, but does not expressly disclose wherein if the measurement signal is not sampled within a predetermined time period then said sampled signal causes the measurement signal to be sampled.

However, this feature is well known in the art and is evidenced by Nakano, which discloses an apparatus for employing an optical information carrier, which discloses a signal generation system operatively coupled to a read system, said signal generation system adapted to produce a sample signal to control sampling of a measurement signal, and wherein said sample signal causes the measurement signal to be sampled every predetermined time period (See abstract, detailed description [0004-[0016])

It would have been obvious to one with an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to sample the measurement signal, as well established and well known, every predetermined period of time, in order to control avoid instabilities, such as vibrations of the focus actuator, as taught by Nakano.

Regarding claim 16, the combination as outlined above would show wherein the predetermined time period determined by a measuring device that is reset after said sampling of the measurement signal (See Nakano <u>time period</u>)

Regarding claim 17, the combination as outlined above would show wherein the measurement signal is held if said intensity is not comparatively high (See Gérard et al. col. 1,

Art Unit: 2655

lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10).

Regarding claim 18, the combination as outlined above would show wherein the sample signal causes the measurement signal to be sampled creating a sampled measurement signal, and the sampled measurement signal is employed to control focusing of said radiation beam (See Gérard et al. col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10).

Regarding claim 19, the combination as outlined above would show wherein said read system is adapted to operate in at least two operational modes including:

an information transfer mode wherein said motion control device provides motion of said scanning spot in tangential first direction with respect to an axis about which said information carrier is rotated; (See Gérard et al. col. 6, lines 10-16; Figs. 6, 7)

a displacement mode wherein said motion control device provides motion of said scanning spot in a radial second direction, wherein said radial transverse direction is traverse to said first direction (See Gérard et al. col. 5, lines 49-65; col. 6, lines 21-25; Figs. 6, 7).

Regarding claim 20, the combination as outlined above would show wherein the measurement signal sampled when said intensity is comparatively high is indicative of the lactation of the scanning spot patterns on the optical information carrier (See Gérard et al. (See

col. 1, lines 9-15, lines 36-43; col. 2, lines 39-56; col. 3, lines 24-46; col. 7, lines 8-16; col. 8, lines 41-67 to col. 9, lines 1-27; col. 11, lines 29-63; Figs. 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6,9,10).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 05/23/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant argues that the combination is improper and in that the modification of Nakano is improper.

The Examiner cannot concur with Applicants assertions because in response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the <u>teachings</u> of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Art Unit: 2655

In this case, Gérard et al. discloses that the measurement signal is sampled when said intensity is comparatively high during scanning operation and within a predetermined time intervals in order to suppress crosstalk. Nakano teaches and suggest an apparatus for employing an optical information carrier, which discloses a signal generation system operatively coupled to a read system, said signal generation system adapted to produce a sample signal to control sampling of a measurement signal. Nakano teaches and suggest to causes the measurement signal to be sampled at least every predetermined time period, in order to make the optical disk apparatus perform a stable scanning operation (See abstract, detailed description [0004-[0016])

It would have been obvious to one with an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gérard et al. as outlined above to sample the measurement signal, as well established and well known, every predetermined period of time, in order to control avoid instabilities, such as vibrations of the focus actuator during such scanning, as taught and clearly suggested by Nakano.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jorge L. Ortiz-Criado whose telephone number is (571) 272-7624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thu.(8:30 am - 6:00 pm), Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne R. Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

joc

W. R. YOUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER